SCIATIC NERVE INJURY FOLLOWING INTRAMUSCULAR GLUTEAL INJECTION IN CHILDERN: AN ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDY

Mohammed I. Sulaiman AL Sabawi

Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, University of Mosul, Iraq. e-mail: me.alsabawi@gmail.com

(Received 21 October 2019, Revised 15 January 2020, Accepted 1 February 2020)

ABSTRACT: Sciatic nerve injury is a common complication following intramuscular injection and the sciatic nerve is the most frequently affected nerve, especially in children. The neurological presentation may range from minor transient pain to severe sensory disturbance and motor loss with poor recovery. The aim of the study is to investigate the electrophysiological findings of sciatic nerve injury following intramuscular injection in children and to detect the prognosis of this injury. Twenty-five child patient with sciatic nerve injury caused by intramuscular injection are included in this study. They are diagnosed through short history; clinical examination andel ectrophysiological study in the Nineveh Handicap Rehabilitation center and private clinic. Nerve conduction study for sciatic nerves (common peroneal and posterior tibial nerves-motor) and sural nerves (sensory) bilaterally are done for the patients to study the Distal moto rand sensory latency (DML; DSL); Compound motor and sensory action potential (CMAP; SNAP); and Conduction nerve velocities (NCV); by using Neuropack EMG\EP measuring system—Nihoncodene (MEB-9400K) and EMG_NT Electromyography & Nerve Conduction Studies for Galileo NT Line (Nemus-2). The common peroneal nerve is most frequently affected than the tibial nerve. Sensory sural nerve injury is detected in our study in 3 patients. Child patients with BM(25–29.9kg\m²) show less sciatic nerve injury than who have BMI(18.5–24.9 kg\m²).

Key words: Sciaticnerve, common peroneal nerve, posterior tibial nerve, electrophysiology.

INTRODUCTION

The sciatic nerve is formed from the anterior and posterior divisions of the L4, L5, S1 and S2 and the anterior division of the S3 spinal nerve. The anterior divisions form the posterior tibial nerve, while the posterior divisions form the common peroneal nerve (Kim et al, 2004). Sciatic nerve injury following intragluteal injection is the second most common cause of sciatic nerve injury after hiparthroplasty (Kline et al, 1998; Plewnia et al, 1999; Tak et al, 2008). The incidence of Sciatic nerve injury complication seems to be higher in the developing world largely due to intramuscular injections being administered by inadequately trained or unqualified staff and inadequate healthcare facilites (Fatunde et al, 2001; Maqbool et al, 2009; Mansoor et al, 2005). The vulnerability of the sciatic nerve to damage is at tributed to its long anatomic course (Plewnia et al, 1999; Simonsen et al, 1999). The common peroneal division ofthe sciatic nerve is more frequently injured than the posterior tibial division because of its posterolateral position, reduced protective connective tissues and the relative tethering of the nerve course (Villarejo et al, 1993; Kline et al, 1998; Eker et al, 2010; Pham et al,

2011). Sciatic nerve injury may lead to different clinical entities from mild paresthesia to serious neurologic sequel, the mechanism of injury is unknown, but allergic reactions, direct nerve fiber damage, neuronal ischemia and constriction of scar tissue are postulated (Yeremeyeva et al, 2009; Bramhall et al, 2011; Baðiþ et al, 2012). Injury to sciatic nerve lead to complicated condition like foot drop. Foot drop can be defined as a significant weakness of ankle and to edorsiflexion; the foot and ankled or siflexors include the tibial is anterior, extens or hallucis longus, an dextens or digitorum longus, these muscles help the body clear the foot during swing phase and control plantar flexion of the foot on heel strike. Weakness in this group of muscles results in an equinovarus deformity this is sometimes referred to as step pagega it (Buhroo et al, 2007). The pathology of injection injuries varies depending on the injection site and the agent injected; Extra fascicular injection produces no or minimal nerve injury. In contrast, intrafascicular injection results in nerve damage ranging from minimal to severe. Toxic effects can be seen in both the axon and the Schwann cell with its myelins heath; splitting and fragmentation of myelin and axon; Early signs of axonal regeneration with