

## BIO-EFFICACY OF CERTAIN INSECTICIDES AGAINST RICE STEM BORER, *SCIRPOPHAGA INCERTULUS* (WALKER)

A. K. Singh, Maneesh Kumar Pandey, Pankaj Kumar and Vikram

Department of Entomology, N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad - 224 229, India.

\*e-mail : awanisingh15@gmail.com

(Accepted 26 September 2017)

**ABSTRACT :** Spray of Cartap hydrochloride 75SG @ 375 g.a.i./ha was found most effective and minimum dead hearts of 0.66 and 0.73 per cent and 0.26 & 0.24 per cent were respectively recorded on 7<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day after I<sup>st</sup> and II<sup>nd</sup> application and was at par with higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride @ 750 g.a.i./ha ( with respective damage of 0.75 and 0.83 and 0.34 & 0.33 per cent) and Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml/ha (with respective damage of 0.91 & 1.05 per cent and 0.53 & 0.50 per cent) on the same dates after I<sup>st</sup> and II<sup>nd</sup> applications. Minimum white ear incidence of 0.18 per cent and 0.20 per cent was recorded on 7<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day of 3<sup>rd</sup> application in Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> treated plots and was at par with Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g. a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.25 & 0.26 per cent), Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.43 & 0.45 per cent) and Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 318.75 g. a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.44 & 0.46 per cent). The remaining treatments were found effective and significantly superior over untreated control.

**Key words :** Bio-efficacy, *Scirpophaga incertulus*, incidence, *Oryza sativa*.

### INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is world staple food for large proportion especially inside East Asia and Indonesia. In India rice is cultivated in 44.10 m ha with production of 131.3 mt and average productivity of 3.0 t/ha (Ferrer, 2011). Pasalu *et al* (2007) have reported yellow stem borer as a pest of national significance which cause significant yield loss across the rice eco-system. Alam *et al* (1992) estimated the maximum stem borer infestation of (20.79%) in deep water followed by low land (12.60%) and medium land (7.83%). Yellow stem borer is a major rice damaging species in Eastern part of India as well as in Uttar Pradesh, which cause low production of rice in fragile eco-systems (Senapati and Panda, 1999). Therefore, the present study was conducted to test the efficacy of certain insecticides against yellow rice stem borer.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

For evaluating the bio-efficacy of insecticides the experiments were conducted during *Kharif* 2010 at Students' Instructional Farm, NDUAT Campus, Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.) India. Thirty days old seedlings of rice variety 27P77 were transplanted in 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of July under Randomized Block Design in 5 x 4 m plot size with 5 replications. At the time of transplanting spacing of 20 cm between row to row and 15 cm between plant to plant was maintained. All agronomical practices were adopted to raise a good crop. Soluble granules of

Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG were sprayed in the standing crop under sufficient moisture in field. Amount of insecticides *viz.* 4 doses of Cartap hydrochloride soluble granules, Cartap hydrochloride 50 per cent SP, Lambda cyhalothrin 2.5 EC and Fipronil 5 SC were measured and diluted separately in the required amount of water (based on the crop stage) and sprayed thoroughly with the help of foot sprayer. First application of the treatment was done at 30 days after transplanting (DAT) followed by the second and third applications on 45 & 60 DAT, respectively. The data on dead heart (DH) and white ears (WE) caused by yellow stem borer were recorded at one day before and 7 and 15 days after treatment. For calculating the per cent damage total numbers of tillers/panicles and damaged tillers/panicles (DH/WE) were counted in quadrat on 12 randomly selected hills at three places in each plot. The data were converted into per cent (DH/WE) with the help of the following formulae:

$$\text{Dead hearts \%} = \frac{\text{Number of damaged tillers/12 hills}}{\text{Total number of tillers (healthy + damaged)/12 hills}} \times 100$$

$$\text{White ears \%} = \frac{\text{Number of damaged tillers/12 hills}}{\text{Total number of panicles (healthy + damaged)/12 hills}} \times 100$$

Arc sine values and Critical Difference were also calculated to test the significance of mean for the treatments difference at 5 per cent level probability.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effects of various insecticides against yellow stem borer were determined on the basis of the dead hearts/white ears (DH/WE) incidence and are presented in Table 1. During the *Kharif* season 2010 the dead hearts (DH) at pre treatment were homogeneous throughout the experimental area, the observations showed non significant difference among the treatments that ranged from 1.78 to 1.90 per cent dead hearts. The first treatment was done at 30 days after transplanting.

At 7 days after first spraying (DAS) of insecticides the dead hearts varied from 0.66 to 2.33 per cent in which all the treatments were found effective and significantly superior over the untreated control. The most effective treatment recorded was Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and was at par with higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>. The treatments in order of efficacy were T<sub>3</sub> (0.66%)> T<sub>4</sub> (0.75%)> T<sub>7</sub> (0.91%)> T<sub>2</sub> (1.00%)> T<sub>5</sub> (1.09%)> T<sub>6</sub> (1.21%)> T<sub>1</sub> (1.38%)> T<sub>8</sub> (2.23%).

The dead hearts recorded at 15 DAS ranged from 0.73 to 2.80 per cent with minimum dead hearts in Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> treated plots which was at par with higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and with Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>. All the remaining treatments were significantly superior over the untreated control.

At 7 days after 2<sup>nd</sup> spray (DASS) of insecticides the minimum dead hearts were recorded in Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.26%) and it was at par with higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.34%) and Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.53%). Rest of the treatments were more effective and significantly superior over the untreated control (3.36%).

At 15 DASS of insecticides the minimum dead hearts were recorded in Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.24%). The dead hearts in other treatments showed significant difference except higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> which were at par with each other and also with T<sub>3</sub>. However, maximum dead hearts were recorded in untreated control (3.53%).

At 7 days after 3<sup>rd</sup> spray of insecticides plots treated with Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> registered the minimum white ears (0.18%), which was at par with higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.25%), Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.43%) and T<sub>2</sub> Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 318.75 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.44%) while rest of the treatments showed

**Table 1 :** Effects of various insecticides on incidence of stem borer at vegetative and earing stage.

| Tr. No.        | Treatment name                      | Dose<br>(g/ml a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Mean per cent damage |                                  |             |                                   |             |                                    |             |  |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--|
|                |                                     |                                       | Pre treatment        | I <sup>st</sup> application (DH) |             | II <sup>nd</sup> application (DH) |             | III <sup>rd</sup> application (DH) |             |  |
|                |                                     |                                       |                      | 7 DAS                            | 15 DAS      | 7 DAS                             | 15 DAS      | 7 DAS                              | 15 DAS      |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> | Cartap hydrochloride (Mortar 75 SG) | 281.5                                 | 1.85 (7.88)          | 1.38 (6.75)                      | 1.51 (7.08) | 1.15(6.15)                        | 1.10(6.02)  | 0.82(5.19)                         | 0.86(5.32)  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | Cartap hydrochloride (Mortar 75 SG) | 318.75                                | 1.80 (7.71)          | 1.00 (5.74)                      | 1.10(6.02)  | 0.61(4.48)                        | 0.58(4.37)  | 0.44(3.80)                         | 0.46(3.39)  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | Cartap hydrochloride (Mortar 75 SG) | 375.0                                 | 1.91 (7.92)          | 0.66 (4.66)                      | 0.73 (4.90) | 0.26(2.92)                        | 0.24(2.81)  | 0.18(2.43)                         | 0.20(5.56)  |  |
| T <sub>4</sub> | Cartap hydrochloride (Mortar 75 SG) | 750.0                                 | 1.78 (7.66)          | 0.75 (4.97)                      | 0.83 (5.23) | 0.34(3.34)                        | 0.33(3.29)  | 0.25(2.86)                         | 0.26(2.92)  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | Cartap hydrochloride 50 SP          | 500.0                                 | 1.88 (7.87)          | 1.09 (5.99)                      | 1.25 (6.42) | 0.72(4.87)                        | 0.69(4.70)  | 0.59(4.40)                         | 0.62(4.51)  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> | Lambda Cyhalothrin 2.5 EC           | 12.5                                  | 1.84 (7.79)          | 1.21 (6.31)                      | 1.39 (6.77) | 0.92(5.50)                        | 0.88(5.38)  | 0.75(4.97)                         | 0.80(5.13)  |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> | Fipronil 5 SC                       | 25.0                                  | 1.82 (7.75)          | 0.91 (5.47)                      | 1.05 (5.88) | 0.53(4.17)                        | 0.50(4.05)  | 0.43(3.76)                         | 0.45(3.84)  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | Untreated control                   | -                                     | 1.86 (7.84)          | 2.23 (8.58)                      | 2.80 (9.62) | 3.36(10.55)                       | 3.53(10.52) | 3.66(11.02)                        | 4.06(11.62) |  |
|                | SE m±                               |                                       | 0.17                 | 0.13                             | 0.13        | 0.11                              | 0.11        | 0.10                               | 0.09        |  |
|                | CD at 5%                            |                                       | NS                   | 0.37                             | 0.39        | 0.32                              | 0.32        | 0.28                               | 0.27        |  |

Figures in parentheses indicates Arc sine value.

significant difference. The treatments in order of effectiveness were  $T_3$  Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.18%) >  $T_4$  Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.25%) >  $T_7$  Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.43%) >  $T_2$  Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 318.75 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.44%) >  $T_5$  Cartap hydrochloride 50 SP @ 500 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.59%) >  $T_6$  Lambda cyhalothrin 2.5 EC @ 12.5 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.75%) >  $T_1$  Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 281.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.82%) and were significantly superior over the untreated control with (3.36%) white ears.

At 15 days after 3<sup>rd</sup> spray of insecticides white ears in different treatments varied from 0.20 to 4.06 per cent. The minimum incidence of white ears (0.20%) was recorded in Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> treated plots which was significantly superior from other treatments except higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.26%), Fipronil 5 SC @ 25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.45%) and Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 318.75 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.46%). Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 375 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> treated plots differed significantly from the plots treated with Cartap hydrochloride 50 SP @ 500 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.62%), Lambda cyhalothrin 2.5 EC @ 12.5 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.80%) and Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ 281.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.86%) respectively. All the insecticidal treatments were found significantly superior over untreated control.

All the insecticides tested against *Scirpophaga incertulas* were found significantly superior over untreated control. Out of seven tested insecticides Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ (375 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) proved to be most effective followed by higher dose of Cartap hydrochloride 75 SG @ (750 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Fipronil 5 SC (25 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) and gave maximum yield. This is in accordance with the findings of Sahithi and Mishra (2006), Singh *et al* (2005), Prasad *et al* (2005) and Lal (2006) who found Cartap hydrochloride 4G effective in controlling YSB damage.

The findings of Jena (2004) and Singh *et al* (2005) that Fipronil was most effective insecticide to check the stem borer infestation also stood third best insecticide for minimizing the YSB infestation in the present results.

The present findings are in partial agreement with the findings of Nayak and Rath (2007), who found Cartap hydrochloride 50 SP most effective in controlling yellow stem borer damage.

The Lambda cyhalothrin was also found effective and significantly superior over untreated control but the results could not be compared.

## REFERENCES

- Alam N, Singh R, Yadav R P and Goel S C (1992) Population dynamics of borer complex in deep water rice. Bio ecology and control of insect-pest. In: *National Symposium on Growth, Development and Control Technology of Insect-Pest*. pp. 95-99.
- Ferrer Paula Bianca (2011) Rice today. *International Rice Research Institute* **11** (1), 22-23.
- Jena Mayabini (2004) Efficacy of new insecticides as seedling root deep treatment against yellow stem borer. *Indian J. Pl. Protect.* **32** (2), 37-39.
- Lal Roshan (2006) A novel use of Cartap hydrochloride 4G for management of stem borer in aromatic rice in Haryana. *Indian J. Ent.* **68** (3), 230-234.
- Nayak U S and Rath L K (2007) Field efficacy of certain insecticides against yellow stem borer of rice. In: *Proceeding of National Symposium on Sustainable Pest Management for Safer Environment* held on 4-6 December at CRRI Cuttak. pp. 195-197.
- Pasalu I C, Prakash A, Mahanty S K, Krishnmurthy P, Katti G, Tiwari S N, Prasad J S and Krishnaiah N V (2007) Bio intensive integrated pest management in rice. In: *Proceeding of National Symposium on research priorities and strategies in rice production system for second green revolution* held at CRRI Cuttack on 20-22 November. pp. 5-7.
- Prasad S S, Gupta P K and Kanaujia B L (2005) Efficacy and economics of promising granular insecticides against yellow stem borer on semi deep water rice. *Annl. Pl. Protect. Sci.* **54** (2), 365-368.
- Sahithi S and Mishra H P (2006) Field evaluation of newer insecticides against YSB, *Scirpophaga incertulus*. *Indian J. Plant Prot.* **84** (1), 116-117.
- Senapati B and Panda S K (1999) Rice stem borer. Insect pests of cereal and their management. *AZRA Publication*, CRRI Cuttak. pp. 3-18.
- Singh J, Suri K S and Sarao P S (2005) Efficacy of granular insecticides against rice stem borer on Basmati rice in Punjab. *Indian J. Ent.* **67** (3), 234-237.